

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,543	09/08/2003	Brad Bongiovanni	A02187US (98678.1)	9889	
22920 7	7590 07/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MITH NEHRBASS & Γ	HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S		
THREE LAKEWAY CENTER 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	METAIRIE, LA 70002		3671		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/200	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-4
		10/657,543	BONGIOVANNI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Gary Hartmann	3671	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	on.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	·	action is non-final.		
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowa			s
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-25 and 27-51 are subject to restrict	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau tee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmant	(c)			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19 and 27-45, drawn to a locking cover, classified in class 404, subclass25.
- II. Claims 20-25 and 46-51, drawn to a method of retrofitting, classified in class 404, subclass 73.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product could be installed as the initial configuration; i.e., not retrofitted.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I: Figures 1, 1A, 3 and 5.
- II: Figures 2 and 4.
- III: Figures 6 and 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,543

Art Unit: 3671

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 3671

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671